

# Interview Summary

Application No.

10/780,171

Applicant(s)

LIN ET AL.

Examiner

Alexander Sofocleous

Art Unit

2824

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Alexander Sofocleous (PTO personnel). (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Agent Wing Mok (App's rep Reg no. 56237). (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 09 February 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 18,20,23 and 24.


Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
Tuan T. Nguyen 2/16/06

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: February 9, 2005 at 1:15PM EST, Examiner contacted Agent Wing Mok to discuss claims 18, 20, 23, and 24. Claim 18 was received on 1/30/2006 incomplete (ended at "first diode"). Examiner and Agent Mok agreed to append "at the corresponding second conductive lines and a second diode at the corresponding first conductive line" to the claim 18 (as received on 1/30/2006). Appended portion for claim 18 was taken from original claim 18 with the following change: "second switch" to --second diode--. Examiner and Agent Mok agreed to change "first and second switches" of claim 20 to --first and second diodes--. Examiner and Agent Mok agreed to cancel claim 23 for consistency reasons (switches was changed to diodes in independent claim). Examiner and Agent Mok agreed that claim 24 was acceptable.